

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.		FILI	NG DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/678,361		10/	/02/2003	Tomoya Maekawa	10873.1305US01	4013	
	23552	7590	10/19/2004		EXAM	EXAMINER	
	MERCHANT & GOULD PC P.O. BOX 2903				HAM, SEU	HAM, SEUNGSOOK	
	MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402-0903				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
					2817		

DATE MAILED: 10/19/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

							
	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Anti-m Occurrence	10/678,361	MAEKAWA ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Seungsook Ham	2817					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period was Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day, fill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 09 Fe	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>09 February 2004</u> .						
	action is non-final.						
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ acce	• •						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	= · ·	, ,					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcting 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati ity documents have been receive ı (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on Noed in this National Stage					
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)					
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate Patent Application (PTO-152)					

DETAILED ACTION

Election of Species

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

Species I, figures 2, 3, and 13, relates to a laminated-type duplexer having a coupling line electrode (open-circuited or short-circuited) disposed between first and second filters;

Species II, figure 5, relates to a laminated-type duplexer having a coupling capacitor overlapped with a coupling line electrode disposed between first and second filters;

Species III, figure 6, relates to a laminated-type duplexer having a coupling line electrode with different line widths disposed between first and second filters:

Species IV, figure 7, relates to a laminated-type duplexer having a plurality of coupling line electrodes having different line widths disposed between first and second filters;

Species V, figure 8, relates to a laminated-type duplexer having a plurality of coupling line electrodes connected through via holes and disposed between first and second filters;

Species VI, figure 9, relates to a laminated-type duplexer having a coupling line electrode disposed on an intermediate shield electrode and first and second filters are disposed in different dielectric layers;

Species VII, figures 10 and 11, relates to a laminated-type duplexer having first and second filters are coupled to a coupling line electrode through coupling windows;

Species VIII, figures 12, 14 and 15, relates to a laminated-type duplexer having an adjusting capacitive electrode disposed on a coupling line electrode and disposed between first and second filters;

Species IX, figures 16, 17, 19, and 20, relates to a laminated-type duplexer having a coupling line electrode disposed between first and second filters, and a transmission line electrode is provided on one of the filters to form a band elimination filter;

Species X, figure 21, relates to a laminated-type duplexer having a coupling line electrode disposed between first and second filters, and a transmission line electrode is provided on one of the filters to form a band elimination filter, and the widths of the coupling line electrode and the transmission line electrode are different.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, none of the claims are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Seungsook Ham whose telephone number is (571) 272-2405. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday, 8:00AM-5:00PM.

Art Unit: 2817

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Seungsook Ham whose telephone number is (571) 272-2405. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday, 8:00AM-5:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert Pascal can be reached on (571)-272-1769. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Seungsook Ham Primary Examiner Art Unit 2817

sh